

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/350,492	Applicant(s) KRISHNAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Kenneth Tang	Art Unit 2195	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Kenneth Tang.

(3) _____.

 (2) Paul Horstmann (Reg. No. 36,167).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 December 2005
Time: 2:15pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:


30, 31, 33, 36, 37, 47, 48, and 49

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
It was agreed to amend the claims according to the Examiner's Amendment.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 KENNETH T. TANG
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2195


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)